

# The R Statistical Computing Environment Basics and Beyond Mixed-Effects Models

John Fox

McMaster University

ICPSR/Berkeley 2016

John Fox (McMaster University) Mixed-Effects Models ICPSR/Berkeley 2016 1 / 13

## The Linear Mixed-Effects Model

- The *Laird-Ware* form of the linear mixed model:

$$y_{ij} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2ij} + \cdots + \beta_p x_{pij} + b_{1i} z_{1ij} + \cdots + b_{qi} z_{qij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

$$b_{ki} \sim N(0, \psi_k^2), \text{Cov}(b_{ki}, b_{k'i}) = \psi_{kk'}$$

$b_{ki}, b_{k'i'}$  are independent for  $i \neq i'$

$$\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \lambda_{ijj}), \text{Cov}(\varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij'}) = \sigma^2 \lambda_{ijj'}$$

$\varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij'}$  are independent for  $i \neq i'$

John Fox (McMaster University) Mixed-Effects Models ICPSR/Berkeley 2016 2 / 13

## The Linear Mixed-Effects Model

- where:

- $y_{ij}$  is the value of the response variable for the  $j$ th of  $n_i$  observations in the  $i$ th of  $M$  groups or clusters.
- $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$  are the fixed-effect coefficients, which are identical for all groups.
- $x_{2ij}, \dots, x_{pij}$  are the fixed-effect regressors for observation  $j$  in group  $i$ ; there is also implicitly a constant regressor,  $x_{1ij} = 1$ .
- $b_{1i}, \dots, b_{qi}$  are the random-effect coefficients for group  $i$ , assumed to be multivariately normally distributed, independent of the random effects of other groups. The random effects, therefore, vary by group.
  - The  $b_{ik}$  are thought of as random variables, not as parameters, and are similar in this respect to the errors  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ .
- $z_{1ij}, \dots, z_{qij}$  are the random-effect regressors.
  - The  $z$ 's are almost always a subset of the  $x$ 's (and may include *all* of the  $x$ 's).
  - When there is a random intercept term,  $z_{1ij} = 1$ .

John Fox (McMaster University) Mixed-Effects Models ICPSR/Berkeley 2016 3 / 13

## The Linear Mixed-Effects Model

- and:

- $\psi_k^2$  are the variances and  $\psi_{kk'}$  the covariances among the random effects, assumed to be constant across groups.
  - In some applications, the  $\psi$ 's are parametrized in terms of a smaller number of fundamental parameters.
- $\varepsilon_{ij}$  is the error for observation  $j$  in group  $i$ .
  - The errors for group  $i$  are assumed to be multivariately normally distributed, and independent of errors in other groups.
- $\sigma^2 \lambda_{ijj'}$  are the covariances between errors in group  $i$ .
  - Generally, the  $\lambda_{ijj'}$  are parametrized in terms of a few basic parameters, and their specific form depends upon context.
  - When observations are sampled independently within groups and are assumed to have constant error variance (as is typical in hierarchical models),  $\lambda_{ijj} = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{ijj'} = 0$  (for  $j \neq j'$ ), and thus the only free parameter to estimate is the common error variance,  $\sigma^2$ .
  - If the observations in a "group" represent longitudinal data on a single individual, then the structure of the  $\lambda$ 's may be specified to capture serial (i.e., over-time) dependencies among the errors.

John Fox (McMaster University) Mixed-Effects Models ICPSR/Berkeley 2016 4 / 13

## Fitting Mixed Models in R

with the **nlme** and **lme4** packages

- In the **nlme** package (Pinheiro, Bates, DebRoy, and Sarkar):
  - **lme**: linear mixed-effects models with nested random effects; can model serially correlated errors.
  - **nlme**: nonlinear mixed-effects models.
- In the **lme4** package (Bates, Maechler, Bolker, and Walker):
  - **lmer**: linear mixed-effects models with nested or crossed random effects; no facility for serially correlated errors.
  - **glmer**: generalized-linear mixed-effects models.

◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ 🔍 ↺

## A Mixed Model for the Exercise Data

Longitudinal Model

- A level-1 model specifying a linear “growth curve” for log exercise for each subject:

$$\log\text{-exercise}_{ij} = \alpha_{0i} + \alpha_{1i}(\text{age}_{ij} - 8) + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

- Our interest in detecting differences in exercise histories between subjects and controls suggests the level-2 model

$$\alpha_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}\text{group}_i + \omega_{0i}$$

$$\alpha_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}\text{group}_i + \omega_{1i}$$

where group is a dummy variable coded 1 for subjects and 0 for controls.

◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ 🔍 ↺

## A Mixed Model for the Exercise Data

Laird-Ware form of the Model

- Substituting the level-2 model into the level-1 model produces

$$\begin{aligned}\log\text{-exercise}_{ij} &= (\gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}\text{group}_i + \omega_{0i}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}\text{group}_i + \omega_{1i})(\text{age}_{ij} - 8) + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ &= \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}\text{group}_i + \gamma_{10}(\text{age}_{ij} - 8) \\ &\quad + \gamma_{11}\text{group}_i \times (\text{age}_{ij} - 8) \\ &\quad + \omega_{0i} + \omega_{1i}(\text{age}_{ij} - 8) + \varepsilon_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

- in Laird-Ware form,

$$Y_{ij} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2ij} + \beta_3 x_{3ij} + \beta_4 x_{4ij} + \delta_{1i} + \delta_{2i} z_{2ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

- Continuous first-order autoregressive process for the errors:

$$\text{Cor}(\varepsilon_{it}, \varepsilon_{i,t+s}) = \rho(s) = \phi^{|s|}$$

where the time-interval between observations,  $s$ , need not be an integer.

◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ 🔍 ↺

## A Mixed Model for the Exercise Data

Specifying the Model in **lme**

- Using **lme** in the **nlme** package:

```
lme(log.exercise ~ I(age - 8)*group,
    random = ~ I(age - 8) | subject,
    correlation = corCAR1(form = ~ age | subject)
    data=Blackmoor)
```

◀ ▶ ⏪ ⏩ 🔍 ↺

## A Mixed Model for the HSB Data

### Hierarchical Model

- A “level-1” model for math achievement:

$$\text{mathach}_{ij} = \alpha_{0i} + \alpha_{1i}\text{cses}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

where  $\text{cses}_{ij} = \text{ses}_{ij} - \overline{\text{ses}}_i$ .

- Exploration of the data suggests the following “level-2” model:

$$\alpha_{0i} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}\overline{\text{ses}}_i + \gamma_{02}\text{sector}_i + u_{0i}$$

$$\alpha_{1i} = \gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}\overline{\text{ses}}_i + \gamma_{12}\overline{\text{ses}}_i^2 + \gamma_{13}\text{sector}_i + u_{1i}$$

where sector is a dummy variable, coded 1 (say) for Catholic schools and 0 for public schools.

Navigation icons

## A Mixed Model for the HSB Data

### Laird-Ware Form of the Model

- Substituting the school-level equation into the individual-level equation produces the *combined* or *composite model*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mathach}_{ij} &= (\gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}\overline{\text{ses}}_i + \gamma_{02}\text{sector}_i + u_{0i}) \\ &\quad + (\gamma_{10} + \gamma_{11}\overline{\text{ses}}_i + \gamma_{12}\overline{\text{ses}}_i^2 + \gamma_{13}\text{sector}_i + u_{1i}) \text{cses}_{ij} \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ &= \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{01}\overline{\text{ses}}_i + \gamma_{02}\text{sector}_i + \gamma_{10}\text{cses}_{ij} \\ &\quad + \gamma_{11}\overline{\text{ses}}_i \times \text{cses}_{ij} + \gamma_{12}\overline{\text{ses}}_i^2 \times \text{cses}_{ij} \\ &\quad + \gamma_{13}\text{sector}_i \times \text{cses}_{ij} \\ &\quad + u_{0i} + u_{1i}\text{cses}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Navigation icons

## A Mixed Model for the HSB Data

### Laird-Ware Form of the Model

- Except for notation, this is a mixed model in Laird-Ware form, as we can see by replacing  $\gamma$ 's with  $\beta$ 's and  $u$ 's with  $b$ 's:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{ij} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2ij} + \beta_3 x_{3ij} + \beta_4 x_{4ij} \\ &\quad + \beta_5 x_{5ij} + \beta_6 x_{6ij} + \beta_7 x_{7ij} \\ &\quad + b_{1i} + b_{2i} z_{2ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

Navigation icons

## A Mixed Model for the HSB Data

### Laird-Ware Form of the Model

- Note that all explanatory variables in the Laird-Ware form of the model carry subscripts  $i$  for schools and  $j$  individuals within schools, even when the explanatory variable in question is constant within schools.
  - Thus, for example,  $x_{2ij} = \overline{\text{ses}}_i$ . (and so all individuals in the same school share a common value of school-mean SES).
- There is both a data-management issue here and a conceptual point:
  - With respect to data management, software that fits the Laird-Ware form of the model (such as the `lme` or `lmer` functions in R) requires that level-2 explanatory variables (here sector and school-mean SES, which are characteristics of schools) appear in the level-1 (i.e., student) data set.
  - The conceptual point is that the model can incorporate *contextual effects* — characteristics of the level-2 units can influence the level-1 response variable.

Navigation icons

# A Mixed Model for the HSB Data

## Specifying the Model in `lmer` and `lme`

- Using `lmer` in the **lme4** package:

```
lmer(mathach ~ meanses + poly(meanses, 2, raw=TRUE):cses  
      + sector*cses + (cses | school), data=Bryk)
```

- Using `lme` in the **nlme** package:

```
lme(mathach ~ meanses + poly(meanses, 2, raw=TRUE):cses  
    + sector*cses  
    random = ~ cses | school, data=Bryk)
```