

# SEDA P

A PROGRAM FOR RESEARCH ON

## **SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC DIMENSIONS OF AN AGING POPULATION**

**An Invitation to Multivariate Analysis: An  
Example About the Effect of Educational  
Attainment on Migration Propensities in Japan**

**Atsushi Otomo and Kao-Lee Liaw**

**SEDAP Research Paper No. 113**

For further information about SEDAP and other papers in this series, see our web site:  
<http://socserv2.mcmaster.ca/sedap>

Requests for further information may be addressed to:  
Secretary, SEDAP Research Program  
Kenneth Taylor Hall, Room 426  
McMaster University  
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada  
L8S 4M4  
FAX: 905 521 8232  
e-mail: [qsep@mcmaster.ca](mailto:qsep@mcmaster.ca)

AN INVITATION TO MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS: AN  
EXAMPLE ABOUT THE EFFECT OF EDUCATIONAL  
ATTAINMENT ON MIGRATION PROPENSITIES IN JAPAN

ATSUSHI OTOMO AND KAO-LEE LIAW

SEDAP Research Paper No. 113

December 2003

The Program for Research on Social and Economic Dimensions of an Aging Population (SEDAP) is an interdisciplinary research program centred at McMaster University with participants at the University of British Columbia, Queen's University, Université de Montréal, and the University of Toronto. It has support from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada under the Major Collaborative Research Initiatives Program, and further support from Statistics Canada, the Canadian Institute for Health Information, and participating universities. The SEDAP Research Paper series provides a vehicle for distributing the results of studies undertaken by those associated with the program. Authors take full responsibility for all expressions of opinion.

Note: This paper is cross listed as No. 388 in the McMaster University QSEP Report Series.

**An Invitation to Multivariate Analysis:  
An Example About the Effect of  
Educational Attainment on Migration Propensities in Japan**

**Atsushi Otomo and Kao-Lee Liaw**

December 2003

Atsushi Otomo is Technical Adviser, ICONS International Cooperation Inc., Tokyo, Japan.

Kao-Lee Liaw is a QSEP Research Associate and a faculty member of the School of Geography and Geology, McMaster University.

**An Invitation to Multivariate Analysis:  
An Example About the Effect of  
Educational Attainment on Migration Propensities in Japan**

ABSTRACT

*To provide a strong motivation for students to learn multivariate statistics and the multivariate way of thinking, this paper uses an easily understandable example of ascertaining the effect of educational attainment on migration propensity in Japan. With cross-tabulations and a logistic model, we demonstrate the necessity of the multivariate approach by showing that the control for the effect of gender is indispensable for revealing the true effect of educational attainment. We further identify two conditions under which valid inference about the effect of a factor depends critically on the control for another factor. Finally, we identify an apparent contradiction between tabulated and logistic results and present a resolution to it.*

**Keywords: multivariate analysis, logistic model, odds ratios**

**1. INTRODUCTION**

There are two kinds of data that are used for studying the relationships of the variables that are involved in real-world processes: *observational* and *experimental*. Observational data are obtained from observing real-world processes (e.g. the data collected from a survey that elicits answers to questions about the incidences and characteristics of migration from the time of birth to the date of survey). Experimental data are generated from experiments in which certain factors are controlled so that the relationships between key variables can be more easily ascertained (e.g. the data collected from an experiment in which the volume of an airmass is fixed so that the relationship between the temperature and pressure of the airmass can be more easily studied).

The data for studying a human behaviour (e.g. migration) and the consequent socioeconomic process (e.g. urbanization, suburbanization, or counter-urbanization) are usually of the observational type. Since many factors are likely to be involved and can not be practically controlled in the real-world, the usefulness of the observational data can be enhanced by collecting information on many relevant factors so that the relationship between a dependent variable and a specific explanatory factor of interest to a researcher can be properly assessed in the context of other influential factors via some multivariate model. Essentially, a well-specified multivariate model for analyzing observational data is analogous to a well-designed experiment for generating experimental data: both have the advantage of reducing the risk of making a misleading inference that results from the failure to control for the effect of one or more influential causal factors. In short, multivariate methodology tends to be particularly important in demography and other social sciences.

The main purpose of this paper is to use a simple real-world example to help students appreciate the importance of the multivariate perspective so that they can be motivated to learn multivariate statistics or at least a multivariate way of thinking. Our presentation consists of three parts. The first and most important part uses only *tabulations* of individual observations that can be easily understood by students who do not have any formal training in statistics. The tabulations will show that without a proper control for the effect of a related explanatory factor, the table showing the dependence of a variable on an explanatory factor of interest to a researcher can become very misleading. The second part introduces a *logistic model* that can not only reproduce the key informations of the tabulations but also provide additional informations for gaining a better understanding of the conditions under which the computed effect of an explanatory factor becomes misleading if the effect of another explanatory factor is not controlled for. This part should be easy to read for those with some background in regression

analysis and can be used as a concrete example for learning the use of probability models. The third part introduces an *interaction term* into the logistic model in order to deal with questions about the interaction between two explanatory factors. This part will not be presented in the main text of the paper. Rather, it is done in an appendix, because it can probably be well understood only by those who are experienced users of multivariate models in their research. We hope that the three parts of this paper can be used by the instructors who appreciate the usefulness of substantively meaningful examples at both elementary and advanced levels of *applied* statistics.

Our real-world example is about an attempt at explaining the propensities to make interprefectural migration at the time of marriage in Japan by educational attainments. We hypothesize that the migration propensities tend to be higher for those with higher educational attainments. This hypothesis is based on the idea that those with better education tend to have greater information and contact fields so that their marital partners are more likely to be located outside of their own resident prefecture, especially in a prefecture with a good chance of getting a professional job. To test this hypothesis, we use the micro data of the 1986 national survey on migration history (IPP, 1988) and select the adults who are either college or university graduates. Typically, it takes two and four years to complete college and university education, respectively. According to our hypothesis, university graduates are expected to be more prone to make interprefectural migration at marriage than are college graduates.

## **2. DATA ANALYSIS USING TABULATIONS**

We start our investigation by paying attention to only the dependent variable and the educational factor that are considered in our hypothesis. An interprefectural migration is said to have occurred if the prefecture of residence immediately before marriage is different from the

prefecture of residence immediately after marriage. By cross-tabulating the individuals in the survey data according to migration status and educational attainment, we obtain the information shown in Table 1. Here the dependent variable is represented by a migration rate, which is obtained by dividing the number of interprefectural migrants by the size of the at-risk population.

For college graduates, the migration rate is 22.8% (i.e.  $245/1,075 \times 100\%$ ). For university graduates, it is 18.1%. Contrary to our hypothesis, these migration rates indicate that university graduates were less prone to make interprefectural migration than were college graduates. This finding is inconsistent with many other findings confirming the enhancing effect of educational attainment on long-distance migration in various countries (e.g. Long, 1988; Newbold & Liaw, 1994; Liaw & Kawabe, 1994; Liaw & Lin, 2001). What has gone wrong?

To pursue the investigation further, we notice the fact that Japan is a male-oriented society in which brides are more likely to move to the location of grooms rather than the other way round. It seems likely that our hypothesis may be supported by the data, if we control for the strong effect of gender. Thus, we make a three-way cross-tabulation of the observations in the survey data and obtain the education-specific migration rates for each gender (Table 2). Among males, the migration rate turns out to be 6.9% for college graduates and 12.5% for university graduates. Among females, it is 29.9% for college graduates and 42.4% for university graduates. In other words, after controlling for the effect of gender, the empirical data indeed support our hypothesis that the higher the educational attainment, the greater the propensities to make interprefectural migration.

The above finding has two important implications. First, in designing the questions of a survey questionnaire, the researcher must not ask only the questions that are directly relevant to her/his specific theory or policy concerns. Rather, she/he should review the broad literature and

ask questions about all factors that are shown in the literature to be highly influential factors. Our finding indicates that the chance of making valid inferences from survey data can be greatly enhanced if questions about such influential factors are included in the questionnaire. More specifically, a researcher who is not interested in gender issues must still ask a gender question in the survey, if he wants to understand the effect of educational attainment on the migration behavior at marriage in Japan. Second, compared with a bivariate perspective, a multivariate perspective is less prone to lead to a misleading inference from empirical data.

For the readers who have not taken any training in statistics and have no intention to do so, we wish that they would keep these two important implications in mind before leaving this paper behind at this point. For others, please continue reading.

### **3. DATA ANALYSIS USING A LOGISTIC MODEL**

Although it is a very useful tool for uncovering the inherent relationship between two variables in the context of one or two more variables, multidimensional cross-tabulation becomes increasingly unwieldy when the researcher wants to control for the effects of two or more explanatory factors. Its unwieldiness is particularly severe when each of the explanatory factors is represented by a large number of categories, because the researcher may end up with the task of making an inference from an unreasonably large number of tables. A more abstract but analytically more effective way to study empirical data from the multivariate perspective is to use a multivariate statistical model. If the values of the dependent variable are not restricted to a particular range, and if the scatter diagrams suggest a linear relationship, a linear regression model may be used. When the values of the dependent variable are restricted to the range between zero and one (or 100%), it is common to use a logistic or a probit model (Cox, 1989).

In this section, we will show that a logistic model can be used (1) to generate the key

informations obtained from the above cross-tabulations, (2) to yield the so-called p-values that can be used to assess whether certain computed effects are statistically significant (i.e. not likely the result of sampling errors), and (3) to identify the conditions under which the control for the effect of an extraneous factor becomes essential for avoiding the risk of making a misleading inference about a hypothesized relationship from empirical data.

The formulation of the logistic model for explaining a person's propensity to make interprefectural migration at marriage by educational attainment and gender is as follows. Let  $i$  be used to identify a person in question. We use  $P_i$  to represent her/his probability of making interprefectural migration,  $U_i$  to represent her/his educational attainment, and  $F_i$  to represent her/his gender. More specifically,  $U_i$  is a dummy variable that assumes the value of 1, if person  $i$  is a university graduate. Otherwise, it assumes the value of 0.  $F_i$  is another dummy variable that assumes the value of 1, if person  $i$  is female. Otherwise, it assumes the value of 0. Considering educational attainment and gender as two explanatory factors, we write down the logistic model as:

$$P_i = \exp( B_0 + B_1 * U_i + B_2 * F_i ) / \{ 1 + \exp( B_0 + B_1 * U_i + B_2 * F_i ) \},$$

where  $\exp( )$  is the exponential function, and  $B_0$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are unknown coefficients to be estimated. We expect both  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  to turn out as positive values, because the propensity to make interprefectural migration is expected to be enhanced by having a university education and being female. We use the Logistic procedure of SAS to fit various specifications of this model to the survey data. The estimation method used by this procedure is the maximum likelihood method.

By using educational attainment as the only explanatory factor, our first specification of the logistic model yields the estimation result shown in the top panel of Table 3. The estimated coefficient of  $U_i$  (-0.2924) turns out to have a "wrong" sign. The very large magnitude of the

associated t-statistic (-16.8) and equivalently the very small p-value (0.0000) indicate that it is extremely unlikely that the perverse effect of education attainment revealed by the estimation result is due to sampling errors.

The estimated coefficients in the top panel indicate that the estimated model is:

$$\underline{P}_i = \exp(-1.2202 - 0.2924 * U_i) / \{ 1 + \exp(-1.2202 - 0.2924 * U_i) \}$$

where  $\underline{P}_i$  is the predicted probability. By letting  $U_i$  be 0 and 1 in turn, we find that

$\underline{P}_i = 0.228$  for a college graduate, and  $\underline{P}_i = 0.181$  for a university graduate. These predicted probabilities are identical to the corresponding migration rates in Table 1 that were generated by the method of cross-tabulation.

By using both educational attainment and gender as explanatory factors, our second specification of the logistic model yields the estimation result shown in the middle panel of Table 3. Now, we find that in the context of gender, the estimated coefficient of  $U_i$  (0.5764) indeed turns out to have a positive sign, as we have hypothesized. Based on the large t-statistic (4.5) and equivalently the very small p-value (0.0000) associated with this estimated coefficient, we are highly confident that the positive effect of educational attainment is not a spurious result from sampling errors.

The estimated coefficients of the second specification indicate that the estimated logistic model is:

$$\underline{P}_i = \exp(-2.5385 + 0.5764 * U_i + 1.6785 * F_i) / \{ 1 + \exp(-2.5385 + 0.5764 * U_i + 1.6785 * F_i) \}$$

By substituting all possible combinations of the values of  $U_i$  and  $F_i$  into the above equation, we get the following predicted probabilities:

$\underline{P}_i = 0.057$  for a male college graduate,

$\underline{P}_i = 0.123$  for a male university graduate,

$\underline{P}_i = 0.297$  for a female college graduate,

$P_i = 0.430$  for a female university graduate.

These predicted probabilities are very similar to the corresponding migration rates in Table 2 that were generated by a three-dimensional cross-tabulation. This strong similarity suggests that there seems to be no need for using a “saturated” logistic model to study the effects of the two explanatory factors in question. Note that, as will be shown in the appendix, the saturated model for our empirical problem contains an additional term that is created by using the product of  $U_i$  and  $F_i$  as an additional explanatory variable. It has the ability of generating predicted probabilities that are exactly identical to the migration rates shown in Table 2.

From the methodological point of view, the most intriguing question is why the estimated effect of educational attainment becomes misleading when the effect of gender is not controlled for. The answer lies in two basic facts. First,  $U_i$  and  $F_i$  have a strong negative correlation. The simple correlation coefficient between them is -0.51, the magnitude of which is very great in light of the fact that it is computed from micro data. This strong negative correlation results from the fact that most college students were female, whereas most university students were male. This fact is a reflection of the domination of the Japanese society by a patriarchal value system that presumed that a two-year college education was good enough for a polished lady, whereas a four-year university education was essential for a successful household head.<sup>1</sup> Second, in terms of the impact on the propensities to make interprefectural migration at marriage, the gender effect is much stronger than the education effect. This is reflected by the fact that the coefficient of  $F_i$  (1.6785) is about three times the coefficient of  $U_i$  (0.5764). In other words, the effect of the male-oriented residential arrangement is much stronger than the effect of

---

<sup>1</sup> The university entrance rate for Japanese females increased substantially in the 1990s (from 15.2% in 1990 to 31.5% in 2000). However, the gender gap remains quite large, because during the same period, the corresponding rate for Japanese males increased from 33.4% to 47.5% (Statistics Association, 2001, p. 115).

educational attainment. As a consequence of these two basic facts, the omission of  $F_1$  from the logistic model forces  $U_i$  to become a perverse proxy for maleness and hence to assume a misleading negative coefficient.

Based on the above analysis, we now state the two general conditions under which the control for another explanatory factor (say,  $F_{2i}$ ) should be seriously considered in order to avoid making a misleading inference about the effect of an explanatory factor of interest to the researcher (say,  $F_{1i}$ ).

**Condition 1:** (i)  $F_{1i}$  and  $F_{2i}$  have a strong negative correlation; (ii) the effects of  $F_{1i}$  and  $F_{2i}$  on the dependent variable are in the same direction; and (iii) the effect of  $F_{2i}$  is much stronger than that of  $F_{1i}$ .

**Condition 2:** (i)  $F_{1i}$  and  $F_{2i}$  have a strong positive correlation; (ii)  $F_{1i}$  and  $F_{2i}$  have opposite effects on the dependent variable; and (iii) the effect of  $F_{2i}$  is stronger than that of  $F_{1i}$ .

To get a more concrete understanding of the problem, let us pretend that our research interest is in the gender effect rather than the education effect. Is it alright that we assess the effect of gender without controlling for the effect of education? By cross-tabulating the observations of the survey data only by gender and migration status, we find in Table 4 that the migration rate is much higher for females (32.9%) than for males (11.1%), which clearly supports the idea that the residential arrangement was strongly male-oriented. Similarly, using gender as the only explanatory factor, our third specification of the logistic model yields a positive coefficient for  $F_1$  (1.3688), which is significantly different from zero (see the bottom panel of Table 3). Thus, the effect of the male-oriented tradition on migration propensities can be easily detected without controlling for the effect of education. The reason for it is that the gender effect is much stronger than the education effect. In other words, the third part of Condition 1 does not apply here. However, it is important to point out that the strength of

gender's effect has been understated when the effect of education is not controlled for: the coefficient of  $F_i$  has been reduced from 1.6785 in Specification 2 to 1.3688 in Specification 3. In other words, the failure to control for the effect of education has resulted in *somewhat* misleading information about the effect of gender.

Finally, to demonstrate the consistency between the cross-tabulation and logistic results, we show that the estimated model for the third specification is

$$\underline{P}_i = \exp(-2.0837 + 1.3688 * F_i) / \{ 1 + \exp(-2.0837 + 1.3688 * F_i) \},$$

which implies that the predicted migration probabilities are

$\underline{P}_i = 0.111$  for a male, and  $\underline{P}_i = 0.329$  for a female. Both of these predicted probabilities correspond exactly to the migration rates shown in Table 4.

Experienced users of probability models, please continue to read the appendix about the usefulness of *odds ratio* as a means to resolve an apparent contradiction between tabulated and logistic results.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In order to provide a strong motivation for students to learn multivariate statistics and the multivariate way of thinking, we have used an easily understandable example of an attempt at ascertaining the enhancing effect of educational attainment on the propensities to make interprefectural migration at marriage in Japan. The example shows that the failure to control for the effect of gender leads to a misleading inference about the effect of educational attainment. Such a misleading inference from empirical data represents not only a waste of the researcher's time and effort but also a serious hindrance to the development of sound theories.

An important implication of our result is that it is very important to make a *broad* literature review before starting an empirical research and designing a survey questionnaire. In

other words, the researcher should become familiar with the literature outside his specific schools of thought and policy concerns. Otherwise, he may miss the information on a factor that has to be controlled for in order to reduce the risk of making a misleading inference from empirical data.

One of our contributions is the identification of two conditions under which the chance of making a valid inference about the effect of an explanatory factor (say,  $F_{1i}$ ) is enhanced by controlling for another explanatory factor (say,  $F_{2i}$ ):

**Condition 1:** (i)  $F_{1i}$  and  $F_{2i}$  have a strong negative correlation; (ii) the effects of  $F_{1i}$  and  $F_{2i}$  on the dependent variable are in the same direction; and (iii) the effect of  $F_{2i}$  is much stronger than that of  $F_{1i}$ .

**Condition 2:** (i)  $F_{1i}$  and  $F_{2i}$  have a strong positive correlation; (ii)  $F_{1i}$  and  $F_{2i}$  have opposite effects on the dependent variable; and (iii) the effect of  $F_{2i}$  is stronger than that of  $F_{1i}$ .

It is worth noting that our advice to include two highly correlated explanatory factors into a multivariate model goes against the common advice that such explanatory factors should not be included in the same model (Hair **et al**, 1992, p. 36; Johnson & Wichern, 1992, p. 313). The common advice is based on the concern that the standard errors of the estimated coefficients of such explanatory factors may become too large. But, our example has demonstrated that the basis for the common advice is overly simplistic.

Finally, our inquiry about whether the education effect is stronger for females than for males (see the appendix) has uncovered two important points about measurement: (1) *odds* is better than *probability* as a measure of *propensity*, although the latter is intuitively more appealing than the former; and (2) *odds ratio* is better than the *difference between two probabilities* as a measure of *the effect of a change in an explanatory factor*. By using these

better measures, the apparent contradiction between the result of cross-tabulation and the estimated result of a multivariate statistical model vanishes.

## APPENDIX

### IS THE EDUCATION EFFECT ON MIGRATION PROPENSITIES STRONGER FOR FEMALES THAN FOR MALES?

In this appendix, we enquire about whether the effect of educational attainment on the propensities to make interprefectural migration is stronger for females than for males. In pursuing this enquiry, we first encounter an apparent contraction between the results of different approaches and then find a way to resolve the contradiction. Since the contradiction is likely to be encountered by other users of multivariate statistics, our finding should be of interest to researchers in various fields.

We see in the left panel of Appendix Table 1 that the change in educational attainment from college to university raises the migration rate by 12.4 % (i.e. 42.4% minus 29.9%) for females and 5.6% (i.e. 12.5% minus 6.9%) for males. Does this empirical finding indicate that the education effect is stronger for females than for males? One way to answer this question is to introduce the interaction variable “ $U_i * F_i$ ” into the second specification of the logistic model and to see if it has an estimated coefficient that is positive and significantly different from zero. If so, the answer is “yes”. Otherwise, the answer may be “no”. In the left panel of Appendix Table 2, we see that the estimated coefficient of this interaction variable turns out to be a small negative value (-0.11) that is not significantly difference from zero (p-value = 0.7021). In other words, the logistic analysis does not provide any support for the idea that the education effect is stronger for females than for males.

Should we base our inference on the result of the logistic analysis? To answer this question, we will take two approaches. First, we replace the migration rate by the odds of migration as a measure of migration propensity and then use *odds ratio* as a measure of the

impact of a change in the value of an explanatory factor. Second, we replace the logistic model by a probit model, which is another widely used probability model (Cox, 1989).

In the right panel of Appendix Table 1, we see that among males, the odds of migration is 0.0742 for college graduates and 0.1424 for university graduates so that the impact of the change from college to university is represented by an odds ratio of 1.9. Among females, the odds of migration is 0.4269 for college graduates and 0.7348 for university graduates, yielding an odds ratio of 1.7 for representing the effect of the educational improvement. Thus, in terms of odds ratio, the education effect is about the same for the two sexes. Since it is actually slightly higher for males than for females, the use of the odds ratio also does not provide any support for the idea that the education effect is greater for females than for males.

To apply a probit model to the survey data, we use the SAS Logistic procedure with the “Link = Normit” option. The estimation result is shown in the right panel of Appendix Table 2. The estimated coefficient of the interaction  $U_i * F_i$  turns out to be a slightly positive value (0.0037) that is not significantly different from zero (p-value = 0.9805). Thus, the probit analysis again does not provide any support for the idea that the education effect is stronger for females than for males.

In sum, we conclude that the effect of education on the migration propensity does not differ between the two sexes. In reaching this conclusion, we have found two important points about measurement: (1) *odds* is better than *probability* as a measure of *propensity*, although the latter is intuitively more appealing than the former; and (2) *odds ratio* is better than the *difference between two probabilities* as a measure of the effect of a change in an explanatory factor.

## References

- Cox, D. R. (1989) *The Analysis of Binary Data*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn (London, Chapman and Hall).
- Hair, J. F., Jr., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L. and Black, W. C. (1992) *Multivariate Analysis with Readings*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn (New York, Macmillan Publishing Company).
- IPP (Institute of Population Problems) (1988) *National Survey on Migration in Japan, 1986: A Study of Its Histories, Determinants and Consequences*, Field Survey Series (Tokyo, IPP, Ministry of Health and Welfare). (text in Japanese)
- Johnson, R. A. and Wichern, D. W. (1992) *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edn (Englewood Cliff, N. J., Prentice Hall).
- Liaw, K. L. and Kawabe, H. (1994) "The dependence of marriage migration in Japan on personal factors and ecological Variables," *Mathematical Population Studies*, 4, pp. 235-258.
- Liaw, K. L. and Lin, J. P. (2001, December) "Primary migration of the Taiwanese young labor force in the context of economic restructuring and globalization: an explanation based on the 1990 census," *Journal of Population Studies* (the journal of Japanese Population Association), 29, pp. 7-27.
- Long, L. (1988) *Migration and Residential Mobility in the United States* (New York, Russell Sage Foundation).
- Newbold, K.B. and Liaw, K. L. (1994) "Return and onward interprovincial migration through economic boom and bust in Canada, from 1976-81 to 1981-86," *Geographical Analysis*, 26, pp. 228-245.
- Statistics Association. (2001) *Toukei de Miru Nihon (Statistical View of Japan)* (Tokyo, Statistics Association). (in Japanese)

**Table 1.** Misleading information about the effect of educational attainment on the propensities to make interprefectural migration at marriage in Japan.

| Educational attainment | Number of migrants<br>(persons) | Size of at-risk population<br>(persons) | Migration rate<br>(%) |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| College                | 245                             | 1,075                                   | 22.8                  |
| University             | 221                             | 1,224                                   | 18.1                  |
| Total                  | 466                             | 2,299                                   | 20.3                  |

Data Source: The 1986 national survey on migration history.

**Table 2.** Meaningful information about the effect of educational attainment on the propensities to make interprefectural migration at marriage in Japan.

| Educational attainment | Number of migrants (persons) | Size of at-risk population (persons) | Migration rate (%) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Male                   |                              |                                      |                    |
| College                | 23                           | 333                                  | 6.9                |
| University             | 124                          | 995                                  | 12.5               |
| Female                 |                              |                                      |                    |
| College                | 222                          | 742                                  | 29.9               |
| University             | 97                           | 229                                  | 42.4               |

**Table 3.** Estimation results of different specifications of a logistic model for explaining the propensities of making interprefectural migration at marriage in Japan.

| Variable   | Coefficient | t-statistic | P-value |
|--|-------------|-------------|---------|
| <i>Specification 1: Gender is ignored.</i>                                     |             |             |         |
| Intercept  | -1.2202     | -16.8       | 0.0000  |
| University   | -0.2924     | -2.8        | 0.0049  |
| <i>Specification 2: Both educational attainment and gender are considered.</i> |             |             |         |
| Intercept  | -2.5385     | -18.4       | 0.0000  |
| University   | 0.5764      | 4.5         | 0.0000  |
| Female   | 1.6785      | 12.6        | 0.0000  |
| <i>Specification 3: Educational attainment is ignored.</i>                     |             |             |         |
| Intercept  | -2.0837     | -23.8       | 0.0000  |
| Female   | 1.3688      | 12.3        | 0.0000  |

Note: The simple correlation between "University" and "Female" is -0.508 implying a tolerance level of 0.742 in Specification 2.

**Table 4.** Somewhat misleading information about the effect of gender on the propensities to make interprefectural migration at marriage in Japan.

| Educational attainment | Number of migrants (persons) | Size of at-risk population (persons) | Migration rate (%) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Male                   | 147                          | 1,328                                | 11.1               |
| Female                 | 319                          | 971                                  | 32.9               |
| Total                  | 466                          | 2,299                                | 20.3               |

**Appendix Table 1.** Is the effect of educational attainment on inter-prefectural migration propensities stronger for females than for males?

| Educational attainment       | Migration rate (%) |        | Odds of migration |        |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
|                              | Male               | Female | Male              | Female |
| College                      | 6.9                | 29.9   | 0.0742            | 0.4269 |
| University                   | 12.5               | 42.4   | 0.1424            | 0.7348 |
| Difference in migration rate | 5.6                | 12.4   | ----              | ----   |
| Odds ratio                   | ----               | ----   | 1.9               | 1.7    |

**Appendix Table 2.** Estimation results of the logit and probit models with an interaction term between educational attainment and gender.

| Explanatory variable | Logistic model |             |         | Probit model |             |         |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------|---------|
|                      | Coef.          | t-statistic | P-value | Coef.        | t-statistic | P-value |
| Constant             | -2.6011        | -12.0       | 0.0000  | -1.4825      | -14.2       | 0.0000  |
| University           | 0.6517         | 2.8         | 0.0059  | 0.3303       | 2.8         | 0.0045  |
| Female               | 1.7499         | 7.6         | 0.0000  | 0.9557       | 8.3         | 0.0000  |
| University * Female  | -0.1087        | -0.4        | 0.7012  | 0.0037       | 0.0         | 0.9805  |

| Number  | Title  | Author(s)   |
|---------|--|---|
| No. 1:  | Population Aging and Its Economic Costs: A Survey of the Issues and Evidence                               | F.T. Denton<br>B.G. Spencer   |
| No. 2:  | How Much Help Is Exchanged in Families?<br>Towards an Understanding of Discrepant Research Findings        | C.J. Rosenthal<br>L.O. Stone  |
| No. 3:  | Did Tax Flattening Affect RRSP Contributions?  | M.R. Veall  |
| No. 4:  | Families as Care-Providers Versus Care-Managers? Gender and Type of Care in a Sample of Employed Canadians | C.J. Rosenthal<br>A. Martin-Matthews  |
| No. 5:  | Alternatives for Raising Living Standards  | W. Scarth   |
| No. 6:  | Transitions to Retirement: Determinants of Age of Social Security Take Up                                  | E. Tompa  |
| No. 7:  | Health and Individual and Community Characteristics: A Research Protocol                                   | F. Béland<br>S. Birch<br>G. Stoddart  |
| No. 8:  | Disability Related Sources of Income and Expenses: An Examination Among the Elderly in Canada              | P. Raina<br>S. Dukeshire<br>M. Denton<br>L.W. Chambers<br>A. Scanlan<br>A. Gafni<br>S. French<br>A. Joshi<br>C. Rosenthal |
| No. 9:  | The Impact of Rising 401(k) Pension Coverage on Future Pension Income                                      | W.E. Even<br>D.A. Macpherson  |
| No. 10: | Income Inequality as a Canadian Cohort Ages: An Analysis of the Later Life Course                          | S.G. Prus   |
| No. 11: | Are Theories of Aging Important? Models and Explanations in Gerontology at the Turn of the Century         | V.L. Bengtson<br>C.J. Rice<br>M.L. Johnson  |
| No. 12: | Generational Equity and the Reformulation of Retirement  | M.L. Johnson  |
| No. 13: | Long-term Care in Turmoil  | M.L. Johnson<br>L. Cullen<br>D. Patsios   |
| No. 14: | The Effects of Population Ageing on the Canadian Health Care System  | M.W. Rosenberg  |

| Number  | Title  | Author(s)   |
|---------|--|---|
| No. 15: | Projections of the Population and Labour Force to 2046: Canada   | F.T. Denton<br>C.H. Feaver<br>B.G. Spencer                    |
| No. 16: | Projections of the Population and Labour Force to 2046: The Provinces and Territories  | F.T. Denton<br>C.H. Feaver<br>B.G. Spencer                    |
| No. 17: | Location of Adult Children as an Attraction for Black and White Elderly Migrants in the United States  | K.-L. Liaw<br>W.H. Frey<br>J.-P. Lin                          |
| No. 18: | The Nature of Support from Adult <i>Sansei</i> (Third Generation) Children to Older <i>Nisei</i> (Second Generation) Parents in Japanese Canadian Families | K.M. Kobayashi  |
| No. 19: | The Effects of Drug Subsidies on Out-of-Pocket Prescription Drug Expenditures by Seniors: Regional Evidence from Canada                                    | T.F. Crossley<br>P. Grootendorst<br>S. Korkmaz<br>M.R. Veall  |
| No. 20: | Describing Disability among High and Low Income Status Older Adults in Canada  | P. Raina<br>M. Wong<br>L.W. Chambers<br>M. Denton<br>A. Gafni |
| No. 21: | Parental Illness and the Labour Supply of Adult Children   | P.T. Léger  |
| No. 22: | Some Demographic Consequences of Revising the Definition of <del>Old</del> to Reflect Future Changes in Life Table Probabilities                           | F.T. Denton<br>B.G. Spencer                                   |
| No. 23: | Geographic Dimensions of Aging: The Canadian Experience 1991-1996  | E.G. Moore<br>D. McGuinness<br>M.A. Pacey<br>M.W. Rosenberg   |
| No. 24: | The Correlation Between Husband's and Wife's Education: Canada, 1971-1996  | L. Magee<br>J. Burbidge<br>L. Robb                            |
| No. 25: | The Effect of Marginal Tax Rates on Taxable Income: A Panel Study of the 1988 Tax Flattening in Canada   | M.-A. Sillamaa<br>M.R. Veall                                  |
| No. 26: | The Stability of Self Assessed Health Status   | T.F. Crossley<br>S. Kennedy                                   |
| No. 27: | How Do Contribution Limits Affect Contributions to Tax-Preferred Savings Accounts?   | K. Milligan   |

| Number  | Title  | Author(s)                                    |
|---------|--|--|
| No. 28: | The Life Cycle Model of Consumption and Saving   | M. Browning<br>T.F. Crossley                 |
| No. 29: | Population Change and the Requirements for Physicians: The Case of Ontario   | F.T. Denton<br>A. Gafni<br>B.G. Spencer      |
| No. 30: | Nonparametric Identification of Latent Competing Risks and Roy Duration Models   | G. Colby<br>P. Rilstone                      |
| No. 31: | Simplified Estimation of Multivariate Duration Models with Unobserved Heterogeneity  | G. Colby<br>P. Rilstone                      |
| No. 32: | Structural Estimation of Psychiatric Hospital Stays  | G. Colby<br>P. Rilstone                      |
| No. 33: | Have 401(k)s Raised Household Saving? Evidence from the Health and Retirement Study  | G.V. Engelhardt                              |
| No. 34: | Health and Residential Mobility in Later Life: A New Analytical Technique to Address an Old Problem  | L.M. Hayward                                 |
| No. 35: | 2 ½ Proposals to Save Social Security  | D. Fretz<br>M.R. Veall                       |
| No. 36: | The Consequences of Caregiving: Does Employment Make a Difference  | C.L. Kemp<br>C.J. Rosenthal                  |
| No. 37: | Fraud in Ethnocultural Seniors' Communities  | P.J.D. Donahue                               |
| No. 38: | Social-psychological and Structural Factors Influencing the Experience of Chronic Disease: A Focus on Individuals with Severe Arthritis            | P.J. Ballantyne<br>G.A. Hawker<br>D. Radoeva |
| No. 39: | The Extended Self: Illness Experiences of Older Married Arthritis Sufferers  | P.J. Ballantyne<br>G.A. Hawker<br>D. Radoeva |
| No. 40: | A Comparison of Alternative Methods to Model Endogeneity in Count Models. An Application to the Demand for Health Care and Health Insurance Choice | M. Schellhorn                                |
| No. 41: | Wealth Accumulation of US Households: What Do We Learn from the SIPP Data?   | V. Hildebrand                                |
| No. 42: | Pension Portability and Labour Mobility in the United States. New Evidence from SIPP Data.   | V. Andrietti<br>V. Hildebrand                |
| No. 43: | Exploring the Effects of Population Change on the Costs of Physician Services  | F.T. Denton<br>A. Gafni<br>B.G. Spencer      |

| Number  | Title  | Author(s)   |
|---------|--|---|
| No. 44: | Reflexive Planning for Later Life: A Conceptual Model and Evidence from Canada   | M.A. Denton<br>S. French<br>A. Gafni<br>A. Joshi<br>C. Rosenthal<br>S. Webb |
| No. 45: | Time Series Properties and Stochastic Forecasts: Some Econometrics of Mortality from the Canadian Laboratory               | F.T. Denton<br>C.H. Feaver<br>B.G. Spencer                                  |
| No. 46: | Linear Public Goods Experiments: A Meta-Analysis   | J. Zelmer   |
| No. 47: | Local Planning for an Aging Population in Ontario: Two Case Studies  | L.M. Hayward  |
| No. 48: | Management Experience and Diversity in an Ageing Organisation: A Microsimulation Analysis                                  | T. Wannell<br>M. Gravel   |
| No. 49: | Resilience Indicators of Post Retirement Well-Being  | E. Marziali<br>P. Donahue   |
| No. 50: | Continuity or Change? Older People in Three Urban Areas  | J. Phillips<br>M. Bernard<br>C. Phillipson<br>J. Ogg                        |
| No. 51: | Intracohort Income Status Maintenance: An Analysis of the Later Life Course  | S.G. Prus   |
| No. 52: | Tax-Preferred Savings Accounts and Marginal Tax Rates: Evidence on RRSP Participation                                      | K. Milligan   |
| No. 53: | Cohort Survival Analysis is Not Enough: Why Local Planners Need to Know More About the Residential Mobility of the Elderly | L.M. Hayward<br>N.M. Lazarowich   |
| No. 54: | Unemployment and Health: Contextual Level Influences on the Production of Health in Populations                            | F. Béland<br>S. Birch<br>G. Stoddart  |
| No. 55: | The Timing and Duration of Women's Life Course Events: A Study of Mothers With At Least Two Children                       | K.M. Kobayashi<br>A. Martin-Matthews<br>C.J. Rosenthal<br>S. Matthews       |
| No. 56: | Age-Gapped and Age-Condensed Lineages: Patterns of Intergenerational Age Structure Among Canadian Families                 | A. Martin-Matthews<br>K. M. Kobayashi<br>C.L. Rosenthal<br>S.H. Matthews    |

| Number  | Title  | Author(s)  |
|---------|--|--|
| No. 57: | The Relationship between Age, Socio-Economic Status, and Health among Adult Canadians  | S.G. Prus  |
| No. 58: | Measuring Differences in the Effect of Social Resource Factors on the Health of Elderly Canadian Men and Women                                       | S.G. Prus<br>E. Gee  |
| No. 59: | APOCALYPSE NO: Population Aging and the Future of Health Care Systems  | R.G. Evans<br>K.M. McGrail<br>S.G. Morgan<br>M.L. Barer<br>C. Hertzman           |
| No. 60: | The Education Premium in Canada and the United States  | J.B. Burbidge<br>L. Magee<br>A.L. Robb   |
| No. 61: | Student Enrolment and Faculty Recruitment in Ontario: The Double Cohort, the Baby Boom Echo, and the Aging of University Faculty                     | B.G. Spencer   |
| No. 62: | The Social and Demographic Contours of Contemporary Grandparenthood: Mapping Patterns in Canada and the United States                                | C.L. Kemp  |
| No. 63: | Changing Income Inequality and the Elderly in Canada 1991-1996: Provincial Metropolitan and Local Dimensions   | E.G. Moore<br>M.A. Pacey   |
| No. 64: | Mid-life Patterns and the Residential Mobility of Older Men  | L.M. Hayward   |
| No. 65: | The Retirement Incentive Effects of Canada's Income Security Programs  | M. Baker<br>J. Gruber<br>K. Milligan   |
| No. 66: | The Economic Well-Being of Older Women Who Become Divorced or Separated in Mid and Later Life  | S. Davies<br>M. Denton   |
| No. 67: | Alternative Pasts, Possible Futures: A "What If" Study of the Effects of Fertility on the Canadian Population and Labour Force                       | F.T. Denton<br>C.H. Feaver<br>B.G. Spencer                                       |
| No. 68: | Baby-Boom Aging and Average Living Standards   | W. Scarth<br>M. Souare   |
| No. 69: | The Invisible Retirement of Women  | L. McDonald  |
| No. 70: | The Impact of Reference Pricing of Cardiovascular Drugs on Health Care Costs and Health Outcomes: Evidence from British Columbia – Volume I: Summary | P.V. Grootendorst<br>L.R. Dolovich<br>A.M. Holbrook<br>A.R. Levy<br>B.J. O'Brien |

| Number  | Title  | Author(s)  |
|---------|--|--|
| No. 71: | The Impact of Reference Pricing of Cardiovascular Drugs on Health Care Costs and Health Outcomes: Evidence from British Columbia – Volume II: Technical Report               | P.V. Grootendorst<br>L.R. Dolovich<br>A.M. Holbrook<br>A.R. Levy<br>B.J. O'Brien |
| No. 72: | The Impact of Reference Pricing of Cardiovascular Drugs on Health Care Costs and Health Outcomes: Evidence from British Columbia – Volume III: ACE and CCB Literature Review | L.R. Dolovich<br>A.M. Holbrook<br>M. Woodruff                                    |
| No. 73: | Do Drug Plans Matter? Effects of Drug Plan Eligibility on Drug Use Among the Elderly, Social Assistance Recipients and the General Population                                | P. Grootendorst<br>M. Levine   |
| No. 74: | Living Alone and Living with Children: The Living Arrangements of Canadian and Chinese-Canadian Seniors  | M.A. Pacey   |
| No. 75: | Student Enrolment and Faculty Recruitment in Ontario: The Double Cohort, the Baby Boom Echo, and the Aging of University Faculty (Revised and updated version of No. 61)     | B.G. Spencer   |
| No. 76: | Gender Differences in the Influence of Economic, Lifestyle, and Psychosocial Factors on Later-life Health  | S.G. Prus<br>E. Gee  |
| No. 77: | Asking Consumption Questions in General Purpose Surveys  | M. Browning<br>T.F. Crossley<br>G. Weber   |
| No. 78: | A Longitudinal Study of the Residential Mobility of the Elderly in Canada  | Y. Ostrovsky   |
| No. 79: | Health Care in Rural Communities: Exploring the Development of Informal and Voluntary Care   | M.W. Skinner<br>M.W. Rosenberg   |
| No. 80: | Does Cognitive Status Modify the Relationship Between Education and Mortality? Evidence from the Canadian Study of Health and Aging  | J.C. Brehaut<br>P. Raina<br>J. Lindsay   |
| No. 81: | Agreement Between Self-Reported and Routinely Collected Health Care Utilisation Data Among Seniors   | P. Raina<br>V. Torrance-Rynard<br>M. Wong<br>C. Woodward                         |
| No. 82: | Age, Retirement and Expenditure Patterns: An Econometric Study of Older Canadian Households  | F.T. Denton<br>D.C. Mountain<br>B.G. Spencer                                     |
| No. 83: | Understanding the Relationship between Income Status and the Restrictions in Instrumental Activities of Daily Living among Disabled Older Adults                             | P. Raina<br>M. Wong  |

| Number  | Title   | Author(s)   |
|---------|---|---|
| No. 84: | Location of Adult Children as an Attraction for Black and White Elderly <i>Return</i> and <i>Onward</i> Migrants in the United States: Application of a Three-level Nested Logit Model with Census Data | K-L. Liaw<br>W.H. Frey  |
| No. 85: | Changing Income Inequality and Immigration in Canada 1980-1995  | E.G. Moore<br>M.A. Pacey  |
| No. 86: | The Dynamics of Food Deprivation and Overall Health: Evidence from the Canadian National Population Health Survey   | L. McLeod<br>M.R. Veall   |
| No. 87: | Quebec's Lackluster Performance in Interprovincial Migration and Immigration: How, Why, and What Can Be Done?   | K-L. Liaw<br>L. Xu<br>M. Qi   |
| No. 88: | Out-of-Pocket Prescription Drug Expenditures and Public Prescription Drug Programs  | S. Alan<br>T.F. Crossley<br>P. Grootendorst<br>M.R. Veall   |
| No. 89: | The Wealth and Asset Holdings of U.S.-Born and Foreign-Born Households: Evidence from SIPP Data   | D.A. Cobb-Clark<br>V. Hildebrand  |
| No. 90: | Population Aging, Productivity, and Growth in Living Standards  | W. Scarth   |
| No. 91: | A Life-course Perspective on the Relationship between Socio-economic Status and Health: Testing the Divergence Hypothesis   | S.G. Prus   |
| No. 92: | Immigrant Mental Health and Unemployment  | S. Kennedy  |
| No. 93: | The Relationship between Education and Health in Australia and Canada   | S. Kennedy  |
| No. 94: | The Transition from Good to Poor Health: An Econometric Study of the Older Population   | N.J. Buckley<br>F.T. Denton<br>A.L. Robb<br>B.G. Spencer  |
| No. 95: | Using Structural Equation Modeling to Understand the Role of Informal and Formal Supports on the Well-being of Caregivers of Persons with Dementia  | P. Raina<br>C. McIntyre<br>B. Zhu<br>I. McDowell<br>L. Santaguida<br>B. Kristjansson<br>A. Hendricks<br>L.W. Chambers |

| Number   | Title   | Author(s)  |
|----------|---|--|
| No. 96:  | Helping to Build and Rebuild Secure Lives and Futures:<br>Intergenerational Financial Transfers from Parents to Adult<br>Children and Grandchildren | J. Ploeg<br>L. Campbell<br>M. Denton<br>A. Joshi<br>S. Davies  |
| No. 97:  | Geographic Dimensions of Aging in Canada<br>1991-2001   | E.G. Moore<br>M.A. Pacey                                       |
| No. 98:  | Examining the “Healthy Immigrant Effect” in Later Life:<br>Findings from the Canadian Community Health Survey                                       | E.M. Gee<br>K.M. Kobayashi<br>S.G. Prus                        |
| No. 99:  | The Evolution of High Incomes in Canada, 1920-2000  | E. Saez<br>M.R. Veall  |
| No. 100: | Macroeconomic Implications of Population Aging and Public<br>Pensions   | M. Souare  |
| No. 101: | How Do Parents Affect the Life Chances of Their Children as<br>Adults? An Idiosyncratic Review  | J. Ermisch   |
| No. 102: | Population Change and Economic Growth: The Long-Term<br>Outlook   | F.T. Denton<br>B.G. Spencer                                    |
| No. 103: | Use of Medicines by Community Dwelling Elderly in Ontario   | P.J. Ballantyne<br>J.A. Marshman<br>P.J. Clarke<br>J.C. Victor |
| No. 104: | The Economic Legacy of Divorced and Separated Women in Old<br>Age   | L. McDonald<br>A.L. Robb                                       |
| No. 105: | National Catastrophic Drug Insurance Revisited: Who Would<br>Benefit from Senator Kirby's Recommendations?  | T.F. Crossley<br>P.V. Grootendorst<br>M.R. Veall               |
| No. 106: | WAGES in CANADA: SCF, SLID, LFS and the Skill Premium   | A.L. Robb<br>L. Magee<br>J.B. Burbidge                         |
| No. 107: | A Synthetic Cohort Analysis of Canadian Housing Careers   | T.F. Crossley<br>Y. Ostrovsky                                  |
| No. 108: | The Policy Challenges of Population Ageing  | A. Walker  |
| No. 109: | Social Transfers and Income Inequality in Old-age: A Multi-<br>national Perspective   | R.L. Brown<br>S.G. Prus  |

---

| Number   | Title  | Author(s)  |
|----------|--|--|
| No. 110: | Organizational Change and the Health and Well-Being of Home Care Workers   | M. Denton<br>I.U. Zeytinoglu<br>S. Davies                |
| No. 111: | Stasis Amidst Change: Canadian Pension Reform in an Age of Retrenchment  | D. Béland<br>J. Myles                                    |
| No. 112: | Socioeconomic Influence on the Health of Older People: Estimates Based on Two Longitudinal Surveys                               | N.J. Buckley<br>F.T. Denton<br>A.L. Robb<br>B.G. Spencer |
| No. 113: | An Invitation to Multivariate Analysis: An Example About the Effect of Educational Attainment on Migration Propensities in Japan | A. Otomo<br>K-L. Liaw                                    |